

1 Ian N. Feinberg (SBN 88324)
ifeinberg@mayerbrown.com
2 Eric B. Evans (SBN 232476)
eevans@mayerbrown.com
3 MAYER BROWN LLP
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
4 3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
5 Telephone: (650) 331-2000
Facsimile: (650) 331-2060

6
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
FREECYCLESUNNYVALE

8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 OAKLAND DIVISION

12 FREECYCLESUNNYVALE,
13 a California unincorporated association,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 THE FREECYCLE NETWORK,
17 an Arizona corporation,

18 Defendant.

19 THE FREECYCLE NETWORK, INC., an
20 Arizona Corporation,

21 Counterclaimant,

22 v.

23 FREECYCLESUNNYVALE, a California
unincorporated association,

24 Counterdefendant.
25
26
27
28

CASE NO. C06-00324 CW

~~[PROPOSED]~~ STIPULATED FINAL
JUDGMENT

1 Plaintiff FreecycleSunnyvale ("Sunnyvale") and Defendant The Freecycle Network, Inc.,
2 stipulate and agree that judgment be entered as follows:

- 3 (1) Final judgment is entered in Sunnyvale's favor on:
4 a. Sunnyvale's First Claim for Relief for Declaratory Judgment of Non-
5 Infringement of Trademarks under 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*;
6 b. The Freecycle Network's Counterclaim for Trademark Infringement under the
7 Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a);
8 c. The Freecycle Network's Counterclaim for Unfair Competition under the
9 Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)
10 for the reasons set forth in the Order Granting in Part Plaintiff's Motion for
11 Summary Judgment and Denying It in Part and Granting Defendant's Motion to
12 Strike ("Order"). Dkt. no. 141.
13 (2) Sunnyvale's Second Claim for Relief for Tortious Interference with Business
14 Relations is dismissed with prejudice.
15 (3) The Freecycle Network's Counterclaim for Unfair Competition under the
16 California Business and Professions Code, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 and
17 17500, is dismissed with prejudice
18 (4) Each side shall bear its costs and fees of suit and neither side shall be considered a
19 prevailing party for purposes of Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1).

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

1 The purpose of this judgment is to allow The Freecycle Network to appeal this judgment
2 to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. For the avoidance of doubt, neither side shall
3 seek to appeal from the stipulated dismissal of claims described in (2) & (3) above.

4 Dated: May 15, 2008

MAYER BROWN LLP

IAN N. FEINBERG

ERIC B. EVANS

BY: /s/ Ian N. Feinberg

Ian N. Feinberg

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FREECYCLESUNNYVALE

8 Dated: May 15, 2008

KING & SPALDING LLP

LISA KOBIALKA

By: /s/ Lisa Kobialka

Lisa Kobialka

Attorneys for Defendant

THE FREECYCLE NETWORK, INC.

14
15 *Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section*
16 *X(B), the filer hereby attests that the signatories' concurrence in*
the filing of this document has been obtained.

17 For the reasons set forth in this Court's Order of March 13, 2008, Docket no. 141,
18 and upon stipulation of the parties,

19 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.

20 Dated: 5/20/08



Hon. Claudia Wilken

U.S. District Judge